Spectral types of linear q-difference equations and q-analog of middle convolution

Hidetaka Sakai and Masashi Yamaguchi
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The university of Tokyo,
Komaba, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.

arXiv:1410.3674v3 [math.CA] 4 May 2015

Abstract

We give a q-analog of middle convolution for linear q-difference equations with rational coefficients. In the differential case, middle convolution is defined by Katz, and he examined properties of middle convolution in detail. In this paper, we define a q-analog of middle convolution. Moreover, we show that it also can be expressed as a q-analog of Euler transformation. The q-middle convolution transforms Fuchsian type equation to Fuchsian type equation and preserves rigidity index of q-difference equations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 39A13, 33D15. *Key words and phrases.* — q-difference equations, Fuchsian equation, Rigidity index, Middle convolution.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we give a q-analog of middle convolution for linear q-difference equations with rational coefficients, and we show properties of the q-middle convolution. Before that, we briefly look over the theory of middle convolution for differential equations.

At first, we look over a theory of Katz in [1]. He defined addition and middle convolution for solutions of differential equations of Schlesinger normal form

$$\frac{dY}{dx}(x) = A(x)Y(x), \quad A(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{A_k}{x - t_k} \quad (t_k \in \mathbb{C},\ A_k \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})). \tag{1}$$

These operations transform Fuchsian equation to Fuchsian equation and preserve rigidity index of the equation. Rigidity index is the integer related to the number of accessory parameters. Accessory parameters are parameters which are independent of eigenvalues of A_k, $A_\infty = -(A_1 + \cdots + A_N)$). If the equation (1) has no accessory parameters, it is called "rigid". Katz showed that any irreducible rigid Fuchsian equations can be obtained from a certain 1st order equation by finite iterations of additions and middle convolutions. Katz's theorem tells that there exists integral representation of solutions of any irreducible rigid Fuchsian equations, because an addition transforms solution $Y(x)$ of the equation (1) to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r} (x - a_k)^{b_k} \cdot Y(x) \, (a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C})$$

and a middle convolution is integral transformation for solution $Y(x)$ of the equation (1).

Remark 1.1 There are two types, "additive version" and "multiplicative version" of middle convolution defined by Katz. Additive version is transformation for equations. Multiplicative version is transformation for solutions. Multiplicative middle convolution induces a transformation of monodromy representation. In this paper, we treat the similar version to the former, which should be called "additive version" q-middle convolution. In the q-difference case, we think that connection matrix between two local solutions at singularities $x = 0, \infty$ correspond to monodromy of differential equation. Birkhoff studied the connection matrix $P(x)$ for local solutions $Y_0(x), Y_\infty(x)$ at singularities $x = 0, \infty$ of linear q-difference system with polynomial coefficient $Y(qx) = A(x)Y(x)$. Furthermore, Sauloy considered a category of linear q-difference systems with rational coefficients, a category of solutions and a category of connection data in [6]. He gave Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for these categories. Based on the Sauloy's result, Roques studied rigidity of connection of linear q-difference systems with rational coefficients in [7]. □

We referred to an easier construction of Dettweiler and Reiter in order to define the q-analog of middle convolution. Let us look over a result of Dettweiler and Reiter in [2, 3]. They express Katz's middle convolution in terms of matrices. The next transformation is called "convolution" with parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\frac{dZ}{dx}(x) = G(x)Z(x), \quad G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{G_k}{x - t_k} \quad (G_k \in \mathrm{M}_{mN}(\mathbb{C})), \tag{2}$$

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} & & O & & \\ A_1 & \cdots & A_k + \lambda 1_m & \cdots & A_N \\ & & O & & \end{pmatrix} (k\,\text{th entry}) \quad (1 \le k \le N,\ 1_m = \{\delta_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le m} \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})). \tag{3}$$

Moreover, we define two linear spaces

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \ker A_1 \\ \vdots \\ \ker A_N \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{L} = \ker(G_1 + \cdots + G_N). \tag{4}$$

Let $\overline{G}_k$ be a matrix induced by the action of G_k on $\mathbb{C}^{mN}/(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L})$. We define middle convolution

$$mc_\lambda \ : \ (A_1, \ldots, A_n) \longmapsto (\overline{G}_1, \ldots, \overline{G}_n).$$

We obtain a similar transformation by considering the Dettweiler and Reiter's setting in the q-difference case.

Let

$$\boldsymbol{B} = {}^t(B_1, \ldots, B_N, B_\infty) \in (\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))^{N+1},$$
$$\boldsymbol{b} = {}^t(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \in (\mathbb{C} \backslash \{0\})^N \quad (b_i = b_j \Rightarrow i = j).$$

We set an equation

$$E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}} \ : \ \sigma_x Y(x) = B(x)Y(x), \quad B(x) = B_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{B_i}{1-\frac{x}{b_i}}. \tag{5}$$

For an equation $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$, we define the q-convolution.

Definition 1.2 (q-convolution) *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$'s. For $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}} \in \mathcal{E}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we define q-convolution $c_\lambda : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ $(E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}} \longmapsto E_{\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{b}})$ as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_N, F_\infty) \in (\mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C}))^{N+1}, \\
&F_i = \begin{pmatrix} & & O & & \\ B_0 & \cdots & B_i - (1-q^\lambda)1_m & \cdots & B_N \\ & & O & & \end{pmatrix} (i+1 \,\text{th entry}), \ 1 \le i \le N, \\
&F_\infty = 1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F}, \\
&\widehat{F} = (B_{t-1})_{1\le s,t\le N+1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & \cdots & B_N \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_0 & \cdots & B_N \end{pmatrix}, \ B_0 = 1_m - B_\infty - \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, we define the q-middle convolution.

Definition 1.3 (q-middle convolution) *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$ as*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N} \ker B_i, \ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda) = \ker(\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m}). \tag{7}$$

Let $\overline{F}_k$ be a matrix induced by the action of F_k on $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L})$, and we define the q-middle convolution mc_λ as $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ $(E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}} \longmapsto E_{\overline{\boldsymbol{F}},\boldsymbol{b}})$.

We abbreviated that modules $(\boldsymbol{B}, \mathcal{V})$, $(\boldsymbol{F}, \mathcal{V}^{N+1})$, $(\overline{\boldsymbol{F}}, \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L}))$ are $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$, $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L})$ respectively. Moreover, we set

$$c_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}) = \boldsymbol{F}, \quad c_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1}, \quad mc_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}) = \overline{\boldsymbol{F}}, \quad mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L}).$$

Here a q-analog of middle convolution was defined. We can also give an integral representation of q-convolution by q-analog of Euler transformation. We will describe it in detail in Section 2.

By the way, we would like to understand q-middle convolution as a transformation for the analog of Fuchsian equation. From now on, we set $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < |q| < 1$, $\sigma_x : x \longmapsto qx$. We set a linear q-difference equation with polynomial coefficient

$$E_A \ : \ \sigma_x Y(x) = A(x)Y(x), \quad A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k x^k \quad (A_k \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})). \tag{8}$$

Moreover, we let $A_\infty = A_N$. We define "Fuchsian" q-difference equations.

Definition 1.4 (Fuchsian type equation) *For an equation E_A, if A_0, $A_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, then we call E_A Fuchsian type q-difference equation.*

Although we cannot apply the q-middle convolution to this Fuchsian equation directly, we see that the equation E_A is connected with $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$ by simple transformations. We consider $m \times m$ matrix system E_R with rational coefficients

$$E_R \ : \ \sigma_x Y(x) = R(x)Y(x). \tag{9}$$

As gauge transformations for the solution $Y(x)$ of the equation E_R, we consider only two types in this paper. The first one is the transformation

$$\varphi_P : Y(x) \longmapsto \widetilde{Y}(x) = PY(x) \quad (P \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})). \tag{10}$$

The second one is the transformation

$$\varphi_f : Y(x) \longmapsto \widetilde{Y}(x) = f(x)Y(x), \tag{11}$$

where $f(x)$ is solution of $\sigma_x f(x) = Q(x)f(x)$ ($Q(x)$ is a scalar rational function). This function $f(x)$ can be expressed by using the functions

$$(ax;q)_\infty, \qquad \vartheta_q(x).$$

Here we set

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_1,\dots,a_n;q)_0 &= 1,\\
(a_1,\dots,a_n;q)_m &= \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1-a_iq^j)\,(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}),\\
(a_1,\dots,a_n;q)_\infty &= \lim_{m\to\infty}(a_1,\dots,a_n;q)_m,\\
\vartheta_q(x) &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q^{n+1})(1+xq^n)(1+x^{-1}q^{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

To be specific, for the solution $Y(x)$ of the equation E_R,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{if we put } \widetilde{Y}(x) = (ax;q)_\infty Y(x), \text{ then } \sigma_x\widetilde{Y}(x) = (1-ax)R(x)\widetilde{Y}(x);\\
&\text{if we put } \widetilde{Y}(x) = \frac{1}{\vartheta_q(x)}Y(x), \text{ then } \sigma_x\widetilde{Y}(x) = xR(x)\widetilde{Y}(x);\\
&\text{if we put } \widetilde{Y}(x) = \frac{\vartheta_q(x)}{\vartheta_q(ax)}Y(x)\,(a \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}), \text{ then } \sigma_x\widetilde{Y}(x) = aR(x)\widetilde{Y}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We define a family of equations by modulo φ_P and φ_f. We interpret the q-middle convolution as the transformation of the family of equations. From arbitrary equation E_R, we obtain $\tilde{E}_R$:

$$\tilde{E}_R \ : \ \sigma_x\widetilde{Y}(x) = A(x)\widetilde{Y}(x), \tag{12}$$

$$A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i x^i \,(A_k \in \mathrm{M}(m,\mathbb{C}),\ A_0, A_N \neq 0,\ \forall a \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, A(a) \neq 0), \tag{13}$$

which is determined up to multiplication of constant and similarity transformations by φ_P.

We call $\tilde{E}_R$ the canonical form of the equation E_R. In general case, for canonical form $\sigma_x\widetilde{Y}(x) = A(x)\widetilde{Y}(x)$ of $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$, we obtain

$$A(x) = T(x)B(x), \quad T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\Big(1 - \frac{x}{b_i}\Big), \tag{14}$$

$$A_0 = 1_m - B_0, \ A_\infty = b_\infty B_\infty, \ B_0 = 1_m - \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i - B_\infty, \ b_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^{N}(-b_i^{-1}), \tag{15}$$

$$\mathrm{rank}B_i = \begin{cases} m - n_1^k & (b_i = a_k \in Z_R) \\ m & (b_i \notin Z_R) \end{cases} \quad (1 \le i \le N, \ n_1^k = \dim \ker A(a_k)). \tag{16}$$

Remark 1.5 The definition of the Fuchsian type equation may not be appropriate. We look at Heine's q-hypergeometric function

$$ {}_2\varphi_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha; q)_n (\beta; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (\gamma; q)_n} x^n. \tag{17}$$

Here $u(x) = {}_2\varphi_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; q; x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\{(1 - \sigma_x)(1 - q^{-1}\gamma\sigma_x) - x(1 - \alpha\sigma_x)(1 - \beta\sigma_x)\}u(x) = 0. \tag{18}$$

If we set $v(x) = \dfrac{1}{x}\sigma_x u(x)$ and $Y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ v(x) \end{pmatrix}$, then we obtain

$$\sigma_x Y(x) = \frac{1}{x(q\alpha\beta x - \gamma)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^2(q\alpha\beta x - \gamma) \\ -x + 1 & x\{(\alpha + \beta)x - q^{-1}\gamma - 1\} \end{pmatrix} Y(x). \tag{19}$$

Although this is not Fuchsian q-difference equation in our sence, this equation transforms to Fuchsian type equation by a simple transformation:

$$Y(x) \longmapsto \tilde{Y}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -x \end{pmatrix} Y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ (1 - \sigma_x)u(x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

$\tilde{Y}(x)$ satisfies Fuchsian q-difference equation

$$\sigma_x \tilde{Y}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta x - q^{-1}\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta x - q^{-1}\gamma & -\alpha\beta x + q^{-1}\gamma \\ (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)x & (\alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta)x - 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{Y}(x). \tag{21}$$

Although we do not introduce such transformations, Saloy used a transformation by rational component matrix as a gauge transformation in [6]. We think that our Fuchsian q-difference equation corresponds to the Schlesinger normal form in the differential case. Although we do not call the equation (19) Fuchsian type, we might have to do. On the other hand, in the differential case, there exists Fuchsian differential equations which cannot be written in the Schlesinger normal form. We set $y_i(x)\,(i = 1, 2)$ the components of a solution $Y(x)$ of a equation

$$\frac{dY}{dx}(x) = R(x)Y(x) \quad (R(x) \text{ is rational function}). \tag{22}$$

If singularities of $y_i(x)$ are at most regular singularities, we call the equation (22) Fuchsian differential equation. Regular singularity is defined from local properties of solution. In more detail, if function $y(x)$ is not holomorphic at $x = x_0$ and for any $\underline{\theta}, \overline{\theta}$ $(\underline{\theta} < \overline{\theta})$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\lim_{\underline{\theta}<\arg(x-x_0)<\overline{\theta},\, x\to x_0} |x - x_0|^{n_0}|y(x)| = 0,$$

we call $x = x_0$ the regular singularity of $y(x)$. Here we consider the equation of Schlesinger normal form

$$\frac{dY}{dx}(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i}{x - a_i}\right) Y(x) \qquad (a_i \in \mathbb{C},\, A_i \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})),$$

that is, a special case of the Fuchsian differential equation. □

We can think that our Fuchsian type equation actually Fuchsian because Carmichael's theorem has been establish in [4].

Theorem 1.6 (Carmichael) *Let α_j^{ξ} $(1 \le j \le m,\, \xi = 0, \infty)$ the eigenvalues of $A_\xi \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we assume further that A_ξ are semi-simple and*

$$\frac{\alpha_j^{\xi}}{\alpha_k^{\xi}} \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \qquad (\forall j, \forall k).$$

Then, there exist unique solutions $Y_\xi(x)$ of the equation (8) with the following properties,

$$Y_0(x) = \widehat{Y}_0(x)x^{D_0}, \tag{23}$$

$$Y_\infty(x) = q^{\frac{N}{2}u(u-1)}\widehat{Y}_\infty(x)x^{D_\infty}, \qquad u = \frac{\log x}{\log q}. \tag{24}$$

Here $\widehat{Y}_\xi(x)$ is a holomorphic and invertible matrix at $x = \xi$ such that $\widehat{Y}_\xi(\xi) = C_\xi \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ and $A_\xi = C_\xi q^{D_\xi} C_\xi^{-1}$, $D_\xi = \mathrm{diag}(\log \alpha_j^{\xi}/\log q)$.

Remark 1.7 The functions used in the above theorem

$$x^{\log\theta/\log q}, \qquad q^{u(u-1)/2} \quad (u = \log x/\log q) \tag{25}$$

are solutions of the following equations, respectively,

$$\sigma_x f(x) = \theta f(x), \qquad \sigma_x f(x) = x f(x). \tag{26}$$

Hence instead of these functions, we can use the following single-valued functions as solutions of the above equations,

$$\frac{\vartheta_q(x)}{\vartheta_q(\theta x)}, \quad \frac{1}{\vartheta_q(x)}. \tag{27}$$

These functions are widely used in recent years, we use these in this paper. □

The purpose of this study is to examine properties of the q-middle convolution. Let us describe the contents of this paper. In the 2nd section, we show that q-convolution can be expressed by a q-analog of Euler transformation. In the 3rd section, we define the spectral type and the rigidity index for the equation E_R. Spectral types are defined by the size of Jordan cells of A_0, A_∞ and types of elementary divisors of $A(x)$. Notice that the rigidity index is not only determined by data of B_k of $B(x)$, but also by data of elementary divisors of coefficient polynomial $A(x)$ of canonical form $\tilde{E}_R$. In the 4th section, we prove the three main theorems.

Theorem 1.8 (Fuchsian type equation) *If equation E_R is Fuchsian type equation, then $mc_\lambda(E_R)$ is also Fuchsian type equation.*

Here we assume that next conditions $(*), (**)$ after the manner of Dettweiler and Reiter in [2]. (These conditions are generally satisfied if $\dim\mathcal{V}=1$ or $\dim\mathcal{V}>1$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ is irreducible)

Definition 1.9 *We define the conditions $(*), (**)$:*

$$(*)\ :\forall i\in\{0,\dots,N\},\forall\tau\in\mathbb{C}\ ;\ \bigcap_{j\neq i}\ker B_j\cap\ker(B_i+\tau 1_m)=0,$$
$$(**)\ :\forall i\in\{0,\dots,N\},\forall\tau\in\mathbb{C}\ ;\ \sum_{j\neq i}\mathrm{im}B_j+\mathrm{im}(B_i+\tau 1_m)=\mathcal{V}.$$

Theorem 1.10 (irreducibility) *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible if and only if $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible.*

Theorem 1.11 (rigidity index) *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then mc_λ preserves rigidity index of Fuchsian equation E_R.*

To prove these theorems, we do not need for the following conditions in the Theorem 1.6 :

$$A_0, A_\infty\ :\text{ semi-simple},\quad \frac{\theta_j}{\theta_k},\frac{\kappa_j}{\kappa_k}\notin q^{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\ (\theta_i,\kappa_i:\text{ eigenvalues of }A_0,\ A_\infty\text{ respectively}).$$

We will explain "rigidity indexh in the section 3. This is defined by "spestral type" of the Fuchsian equation E_R.

2 Integral representation of q-convolution

We gave a q-analog of convolution as a transformation of the q-difference equations. We can also give an integral representation of "q-convolution" by a q-analog of Euler transformation. In this section, we show

Theorem 2.1 *For the solution $Y(x)$ of the equation $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$, let $\widehat{Y}(x)={}^t({}^t\widehat{Y}_0(x),\dots,{}^t\widehat{Y}_N(x))$ by*

$$\widehat{Y}_i(x)=\int_0^\infty\frac{P_\lambda(x,s)}{s-b_i}Y(s)\ d_qs,\ \ b_0=0,\ \ P_\lambda(x,s)=\frac{(q^{\lambda+1}sx^{-1};q)_\infty}{(qsx^{-1};q)_\infty}. \tag{28}$$

Then, $\widehat{Y}(x)$ is the solution of the equation $E_{\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{b}}$(see Definition 1.2). Here Jackson integral is defined by

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)\,d_qx = (1-q)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n).$$

Proof. $P_\lambda(x,s)$ is a solution of partial difference equations

$$(\sigma_x - \sigma_s^{-1})y(x,s) = 0,\ \sigma_x y(x,s) = \frac{1-q^\lambda s x^{-1}}{1-sx^{-1}}y(x,s).$$

Hence $P_\lambda(x,s)$ is a solution of

$$\frac{\sigma_x P_\lambda(x,s)}{s-b_i} = \frac{x-q^\lambda b_i}{x-b_i}\frac{P_\lambda(x,s)}{s-b_i} + \frac{x}{x-b_i}\frac{\sigma_s^{-1}-1}{s}P_\lambda(x,s).$$

Moreover, this function is independent to $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$. By multiplying $Y(s)$, and by Jackson integral calculation, we obtain

$$\sigma_x\widehat{Y}_i(x) = \left\{1+\frac{(1-q^\lambda)b_i}{x-b_i}\right\}\widehat{Y}_i(x) + \frac{x}{x-b_i}\int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_s^{-1}-1}{s}P_\lambda(x,s)Y(s)\ d_qs.$$

Meanwhile, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_s^{-1}-1}{s}P_\lambda(x,s)\cdot Y(s)\ d_qs \\
&\quad= \int_0^\infty P_\lambda(x,s)\frac{1}{s}\{\sigma_s Y(s) - Y(s)\}\ d_qs \\
&\quad= \int_0^\infty P_\lambda(x,s)\frac{1}{s}\left(B_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{B_j}{1-\frac{s}{b_j}} - 1_m\right)Y(s)\ d_qs \\
&\quad= \int_0^\infty P_\lambda(x,s)\left\{\frac{1}{s}\left(B_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^N B_j - 1_m\right) - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{s-b_j}B_j\right\}Y(s)\ d_qs \\
&\quad= -\int_0^\infty P_\lambda(x,s)\sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{s-b_j}B_j\cdot Y(s)\ d_qs\ \left(b_0=0,\ B_0 = 1_m - \sum_{i=1}^N B_i - B_\infty\right) \\
&\quad= -\sum_{j=0}^N B_j\int_0^\infty \frac{P_\lambda(x,s)}{s-b_j}Y(s)\ d_qs \\
&\quad= -\sum_{j=0}^N B_j\widehat{Y}_j(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\widehat{Y}_i(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_x\widehat{Y}_i(x) &= \left\{1+\frac{(1-q^\lambda)b_i}{x-b_i}\right\}\widehat{Y}_i(x) - \frac{x}{x-b_i}\sum_{j=0}^N B_j\widehat{Y}_j(x) \\
&= \widehat{Y}_i(x) - \sum_{j=0}^N B_j\widehat{Y}_j(x) + \frac{1}{1-\frac{x}{b_i}}\left\{-(1-q^\lambda)\widehat{Y}_i(x) + \sum_{j=0}^N B_j\widehat{Y}_j(x)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widehat{Y}(x)$ is a solution of the equation $E_{\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{b}}$. $\square$

From the above, we proved that q-convolution can be expressed by a q-analog of Euler transformation.

3 Rigidity index of q-difference equations

In this section, we define the spectral type and the rigidity index of the equation E_R. We set the coefficient $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k x^k$ of the canonical form of a Fuchsian equation E_R.

Definition 3.1 *Let* $A_\xi \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_\xi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_i^\xi} J(\alpha_i^\xi, t_{i,\,j}^\xi)$ ($J(\alpha,t)$: *Jordan cell*, $t_{i,\,j+1}^\xi \leq t_{i,\,j}^\xi$). *Moreover, let* $\{m_{i,\,k}^\xi\}_k$ *denote the conjugate of* $\{t_{i,\,j}^\xi\}_j$ *in Young diagram. We call*

$$S_\xi : m_{1,1}^\xi \dots m_{1,t_{1,1}^\xi}^\xi, \dots, m_{l_\xi,1}^\xi \dots m_{l_\xi,t_{l_\xi,1}^\xi}^\xi$$

spectral type of A_ξ.

Definition 3.2 *Let* $Z_A = \{a \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \det A(a) = 0\}$ *and denote by* $d_i\,(1 \leq i \leq m)$ *the elementary divisors of* $\det A(x)$ $(d_{i+1}|d_i)$. *For any* $a_i \in Z_A$, *we denote by* $\{\tilde{n}_k^i\}_k$ *the orders of zeros* a_i *of* $\{d_k\}_k$. *We set* $\{n_j^i\}_j$ *the conjugate of* $\{\tilde{n}_k^i\}_k$. *We call*

$$S_{\mathrm{div}} : n_1^1 \dots n_{k_1}^1, \dots, n_1^l \dots n_{k_l}^l$$

spectral type of $A(x)$.

Definition 3.3 *We call* $S(E_R) = (S_0; S_\infty; S_{\mathrm{div}})$ *spectral type of* E_R.

From the above, we define the rigidity index.

Definition 3.4 *We define the rigidity index* $\mathrm{idx}(E_R)$ *of the equation* E_R *as*

$$\mathrm{idx}(E_R) = \sum_{\xi=0,\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{l_\xi} \sum_{j=1}^{t_{i,1}^\xi} (m_{i,\,j}^\xi)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (n_j^i)^2 - m^2 N. \tag{29}$$

For example, we consider

$$E_1 \ :\ \sigma_x Y(x) = A(x)Y(x), \quad A(x) = A_0 + A_1 x + A_\infty x^2,$$
$$A_0 \sim J(\alpha_1^0, 2) \oplus J(\alpha_1^0, 1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus J(\alpha_2^0, 1),\ A_\infty \sim J(\alpha_1^\infty, 1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus J(\alpha_2^\infty, 1) \oplus J(\alpha_3^\infty, 1),$$
$$A(x) \sim \mathrm{diag}((x-a_1)(x-a_2)^2(x-a_3)(x-a_4),\ (x-a_1)(x-a_2),\ (x-a_1)(x-a_2),\ x-a_1, 1)$$
$$(\alpha_i^0 \neq \alpha_j^0,\ \alpha_i^\infty \neq \alpha_j^\infty,\ a_i \neq a_j\,(i \neq j)).$$

Spectral type and rigidity index of the equation E_1 are

$$S(E_1)\ :\ 31{,}1; 3{,}1{,}1; 4{,}31{,}1{,}1, \qquad \mathrm{idx}(E_1) = 0.$$

Remark 3.5 We can also express the rigidity index $\mathrm{idx}(E_R)$ of the equation E_R as

$$\mathrm{idx}(E_R) = \mathrm{dim}Z(A_0) + \mathrm{dim}Z(A_\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}(n_j^i)^2 - m^2N. \tag{30}$$

Here, we let $Z(A) = \{X \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})\,;\, AX = XA\}$ $(A \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$. $\square$

We can easily check the next facts.

Proposition 3.6

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{l_\xi}\sum_{j=1}^{t_{i,1}^\xi} m_{i,\,j}^{\xi} = m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_j^i = Nm.$

(ii) $n_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_j^i$ *is a multiplicity of* $\det A(x)$ *of zeros* $a_i \in Z_A$.

(iii) $\mathrm{idx}(E_R)$ *is even number.*

After the definition of q-analog of spectral type and rigidity index, let's look at some examples. At first, we consider the Heine's q-hypergeometric equation $E_2 : (21)$. It is easy to confirm that the equation E_2 has generally the following data:

$$S(E_2)\ :\ 1,1;1,1;1,1, \qquad \mathrm{idx}(E_2) = 2. \tag{31}$$

Moreover, we consider generalized q-hypergeometric equation

$$E_3\ :\ \sigma_x Y(x) = A(x)Y(x), \quad A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & f_0 \\ -f_m & \cdots & -f_2 & -f_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

$$f_0\sigma_x^m + f_1\sigma_x^{m-1} + \cdots + f_m = \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{b_k}{q}\sigma_x - 1\right) - \lambda x\prod_{k=1}^{m}(a_k\sigma_x - 1)\ (a_k, b_k, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*). \tag{33}$$

We set $A(x) = A_0 + A_\infty x$ $(A_k \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$. We obtain the data of the equation E_3 as

$$\mathrm{Ev}(A_0) = \left\{\frac{q}{b_1}, \ldots, \frac{q}{b_m}\right\}, \quad \mathrm{Ev}(A_\infty) = \left\{\frac{1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{a_m}\right\}, \tag{34}$$

$$\text{zeros of } \det A(x) \text{ are } \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{b_k}{qa_k}\ (\text{multiplicity} : m-1). \tag{35}$$

Here we denote by $\mathrm{Ev}(A_\xi)\,(\xi = 0, \infty)$ the set of eigenvalues of A_ξ. Therefore, we generally obtain rigidity index of the equation E_3 as

$$\mathrm{idx}(E_3) = 1^2 \times m + 1^2 \times m + 1^2 + (m-1)^2 - 1 \times m^2 = 2.$$

Remark 3.7 In general case, since we can also express the Fuchsian equation $E_A : \sigma_x^{-1}Y(x) = A(q^{-1}x)^{-1}Y(x)$, we expect $\mathrm{idx}(E_{A^{-1}}) = \mathrm{idx}(E_A)$. Let us check this fact. We put

$$\tilde{A}(x) = \det A(x)\, A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N(m-1)} \tilde{A}_k x^k, \quad \tilde{A}_\infty = \tilde{A}_{N(m-1)},$$

then we get

$$A_0\tilde{A}_0 = 1_m, \quad A_\infty\tilde{A}_\infty = \kappa 1_m \ (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}).$$

Moreover, the spectral type $S(E_{A^{-1}}) = (\tilde{S}_0; \tilde{S}_\infty; \tilde{S}_{\mathrm{div}})$ satisfies $\tilde{S}_0 = S_0$, $\tilde{S}_\infty = S_\infty$ and

$$\tilde{S}_{\mathrm{div}} : \underbrace{m \dots m}_{n_1-k_1} m - n^1_{k_1} \dots m - n^1_1, \dots, \underbrace{m \dots m}_{n_l-k_l} m - n^l_{k_l} \dots m - n^l_1$$

because $\tilde{A}(x) \sim \det A(x)\, \mathrm{diag}(d_i^{-1})$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{idx}(E_{A^{-1}}) &= \dim Z(\tilde{A}_0) + \dim Z(\tilde{A}_\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\{ m^2(n_i - k_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (m - n^i_j)^2 \right\} - N(m-1)m^2 \\
&= \dim Z(A_0) + \dim Z(A_\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\{ m^2 n_i - 2m \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n^i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (n^i_j)^2 \right\} - N(m-1)m^2 \\
&= \dim Z(A_0) + \dim Z(A_\infty) + (m^2 - 2m) \cdot Nm + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (n^i_j)^2 - N(m-1)m^2 \\
&= \dim Z(A_0) + \dim Z(A_\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (n^i_j)^2 - Nm^2 \\
&= \mathrm{idx}(E_A). \ \square
\end{aligned}$$

In the next section, we study how these data are changed by q-middle convolution in detail.

4 Properties of q-middle convolution

In this section, we prove the three theorems.

Theorem 1.8 (Fuchsian type equation) *If equation E_R is Fuchsian type equation, then $mc_\lambda(E_R)$ is also Fuchsian type equation.*

Theorem 1.10 (irreducibility) *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible if and only if $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible.*

Theorem 1.11 (rigidity index) *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then mc_λ preserves rigidity index of Fuchsian equation E_R.*

About $(*), (**)$, see Definition 1.9. Theorem 1.8 is proved easily by examining coefficient polynomial of canonical form of $c_\lambda(\tilde{E}_R)$. Although many preparations are necessary for us to prove Theorem 1.10, the outline is the same as method of Detteweiler and Reiter in [2]. Finally, Theorem 1.11 is proved by investigating in detail the change of spectral type of the equation E_R.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.8.

Here we prove the next theorem.

Theorem 1.8 (Fuchsian type equation) *If equation E_R is Fuchsian type equation, then $mc_\lambda(E_R)$ is also Fuchsian type equation.*

Proof. We put coefficients $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k x^k \, (A_0, A_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}))$, $G(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} G_k x^k$ of canonical form of $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$, $E_{\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{b}} \, (\boldsymbol{F} = c_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}))$. From the relations (15):

$$A_0 = 1_m - B_0, \ A_\infty = b_\infty B_\infty, \ B_0 = 1_m - \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i - B_\infty, \ b_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (-b_i^{-1}) \neq 0,$$

we obtain $B_0 - 1_m, B_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$. For any $v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker F_\infty \, (v_k \in \mathcal{V})$, we get $G_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C})$ because

$$0 = G_\infty v = b_\infty F_\infty v = b_\infty {}^t({}^t(B_\infty s), \ldots, {}^t(B_\infty s)) \, (s = \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} B_i v_i).$$

Meanwhile, for any $v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker G_0$, since

$$0 = G_0 v = (1_{(N+1)m} - F_0)v = (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i + F_\infty)v = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i + 1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F})v,$$

we obtain $v = 0$. Hence $G_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $mc_\lambda(E_R)$ is a Fuchsian type equation. □

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.10.

Here we derive a dimension formula of q-middle convolution. Moreover, we prove that q-middle convolution preserves irreducibility of the equation. The outline is the same as calculations of Detteweiler and Reiter in [2].

At first, linear spaces $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$ satisfy the next proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *$\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$ are $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $J = \{1, \ldots, N\}$. For any $v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \mathcal{K} \, (v_k \in \ker B_k)$, we get

$$F_j v = {}^t(0, \ldots, \overset{j+1}{\underset{\vee}{(q^\lambda - 1)}} {}^t v_j, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{K} \quad (j \in J).$$

Hence $F_j \mathcal{K}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{K}$. In the meantime, $F_\infty \mathcal{K}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{K}$ because for any $v \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain $F_\infty v = (1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F})v = v \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}$ is $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$.

(ii) Let

$$1_{m,k} = \{\delta_{i,k+1}\delta_{j,k+1}1_m\}_{1\le i,j\le N+1} = \mathrm{diag}(0,\dots,\overset{k+1}{\overset{\vee}{1_m}},\dots,0).$$

For any $v \in \mathcal{L}$, we get

$$(\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})F_j v = (\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})1_{m,j}(\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})v = 0 \quad (j \in J).$$

Hence $F_j\mathcal{L}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, $F_\infty\mathcal{L}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ because for any $v \in \mathcal{L}$, we obtain

$$(\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})F_\infty v = (\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})(1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F})v = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$. □

The next facts are important as "dimension formula".

Proposition 4.2

(i) *If $\lambda = 0$, then $\mathcal{K}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^tv_0,\dots,{}^tv_N); \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j = 0\}. \tag{36}$$

(ii) *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{L} = 0, \mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^th,\dots,{}^th); h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)\}$ and*

$$\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})) = (N+1)m - \sum_{i=1}^N \dim\ker B_i - \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) - \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m). \tag{37}$$

Proof. (i) If $\lambda = 0$, then $\mathcal{L} = \ker\widehat{F}$. Here for any $v \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain $\widehat{F}v = 0$. Hence $v \in \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, we obtain $\mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^tv_0,\dots,{}^tv_N); \sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j = 0\}$.

(ii) If $\lambda \neq 0$, for any $v \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{L}$, we obtain

$$0 = (\widehat{F} - (1-q^\lambda)1_{(N+1)m})v = \widehat{F}v - (1-q^\lambda)v = (q^\lambda - 1)v.$$

Hence we get $v = 0$. For any $v = {}^t({}^tv_0,\dots,{}^tv_N) \in \mathcal{L}$, we obtain $\widehat{F}v = (1-q^\lambda)v$. Consequently, we see $\sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j = (1-q^\lambda)v_i$ $(i \in I = \{0,\dots,N\})$. Here $v_0 = \cdots = v_N$ and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^th,\dots,{}^th); h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)\}.$$

Therefore, we can compute $\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})) &= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L})) \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}) - \dim(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L}) \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}) - \dim\mathcal{K} - \dim\mathcal{L} \quad (\because\ \mathcal{K}\cap\mathcal{L} = 0) \\
&= (N+1)m - \sum_{i=0}^N \dim\ker B_i - \dim\ker(B_\infty - q^\lambda 1_m) \\
&= (N+1)m - \sum_{i=1}^N \dim\ker B_i - \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) - \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3 *If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\boldsymbol{B}$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{N+1}$ is $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspace. Moreover, $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{W})$ is submodule of $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proof. For any $w = {}^t({}^tw_0, \ldots, {}^tw_N) \in \mathcal{W}^{N+1}$ and $j \in J = \{1, \ldots, N\}$, it is clear that

$$F_j w = {}^t(0, \ldots, \overset{j+1}{\overset{\vee}{\sum_{i=0}^N {}^t(B_i w_i)}} - (1-q^\lambda){}^t w_j, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{W}^{N+1}.$$

Since $F_\infty w = (1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F})w = w - \widehat{F}w \in \mathcal{W}^{N+1},\ \mathcal{W}^{N+1}$ is $\boldsymbol{F}$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}$. The second claim follows from

$$\mathcal{W}^{N+1} \cap (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{W}. \tag{38}$$

Hence we prove (38). If $\lambda = 0,\ \mathcal{K}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{L}$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}$$

is subspace of $\mathcal{W}^{N+1} \cap (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V})$. Moreover, for any $w = {}^t({}^tw_0, \ldots, {}^tw_N) \in \mathcal{W}^{N+1} \cap (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V})$ and $i \in I = \{0, \ldots, N\}$, we can let

$$w_i = k_i + h\,(k_i \in \ker B_i, h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)).$$

Here we obtain $\mathcal{W} \ni \sum_{i=0}^N B_i w_i = \sum_{i=0}^N B_i(k_i + h) = (1-q^\lambda)h$. Consequently, $h \in \mathcal{W}$. Moreover, we find $w \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{W}$ from $k_i = w_i - h \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{W}^{N+1} \cap (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V})$ is subspace of $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{W}$. □

From now on, we assume the conditions $(*), (**)$. Here we can prove

Proposition 4.4 *If $(**)$ is satisfied, then $mc_0(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda = 0$, then we get $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N); \sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j = 0\}$. Let

$$\phi : {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \longmapsto \sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j.$$

Then $\phi : \mathcal{V}^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is surjection from a condition $(**)$. For any $v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}$, we get

$$(\phi \circ F_j)(v) = \phi({}^t(0, \ldots, \overset{j+1}{\overset{\vee}{{}^ts}}, \ldots, 0)) = B_j s = (B_j \circ \phi)(v),\ s = \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^N B_i v_i\,(j \in J = \{1, \ldots, N\}),$$
$$(\phi \circ F_\infty)(v) = (\phi \circ (1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F}))(v) = \phi({}^t({}^tv_0 - {}^ts, \ldots, {}^tv_N - {}^ts)) = B_\infty s = (B_\infty \circ \phi)(v).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{im}(\phi) \simeq \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/\ker(\phi) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L}) = mc_0(\mathcal{V}).\ \square$$

Here we introduce a transformation ψ_μ in expedient.

Definition 4.5 *For* $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_N, T_\infty) \in (\mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C}))^{N+1}$, *we define*

$$\psi_\mu : (\mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C}))^{N+1} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C}))^{N+1},\ (T_1, \dots, T_N, T_\infty) \longmapsto (T_1, \dots, T_N, T_\infty + \mu 1_{(N+1)m}). \tag{39}$$

We set the module $\psi_\mu(\mathcal{V}) = (\psi_\mu(\boldsymbol{T}), \mathcal{V})$.

Here ψ_μ preserves irreducibility of equations clearly. Moreover, we introduce a transformation Ψ_λ.

Definition 4.6 *We define* $\Psi_\lambda : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$,

$$\Psi_\lambda = \psi_{1-q^\lambda} \circ c_\lambda. \tag{40}$$

Let $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}} = \Psi_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B})$, $\Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}, \mathcal{V}^{N+1})$. *We let* $\check{F}_k$ *be a matrix induced by the action of* F_k *on* $\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L})$. *Moreover, we define* $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$,

$$\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}) = \check{\boldsymbol{F}},\ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L}) = (\check{\boldsymbol{F}}, \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L})). \tag{41}$$

Here the following facts are proved in the same way as above.

Proposition 4.7 $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$ *are* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}$*-invariant.*

Proposition 4.8 *If* $\mathcal{W}$ *is* $\boldsymbol{B}$*-invariant subspace of* $\mathcal{V}$, *then* $\mathcal{W}^{N+1}$ *is* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}$*-invariant subspace. Moreover,* $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{W})$ *is submodule of* $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$.

From $\psi_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}^{N+1}}$, $mc_0 = \overline{\Psi}_0$, the next proposition is obvious.

Proposition 4.9 *If* $(**)$ *is satisfied, then* $\overline{\Psi}_0(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof of the Proposition 4.10, 4.11, 4.12 are similar to Detteweiler and Reiter's paper [2].

Proposition 4.10 *If* $(*), (**)$ *are satisfied, then for any* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_\mu(\mathcal{V}^{N+1})/\overline{\Psi}_\mu(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda))$.

Proof. If $\mu = 0$, it is easily seen that

$$\overline{\Psi}_0 \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda)) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_0(\mathcal{V}^{N+1})/\overline{\Psi}_0(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda)).$$

Here we assume $\mu \neq 0$. We set

$$\lambda' = q^\lambda - 1,\ \mu' = q^\mu - 1,\ \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V},\ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda),\ \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}^{N+1}},\ \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}^{N+1}}(\mu), \tag{42}$$

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}} = \Psi_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \check{\boldsymbol{F}} = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \mathcal{M} = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}),\ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}_1. \tag{43}$$

Let us first prove

$$\text{(i) } \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}, \quad \text{(ii) } \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}. \tag{44}$$

(i) We set $\check{F}_0 = 1_m - \sum_{i=1}^N \check{F}_i - \check{F}_\infty$. For any $k + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} = {}^t({}^tk_0, \dots, {}^tk_N) + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in (\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$, we obtain $k + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}$ from $\check{F}_i(k_i + \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H} (i \in I = \{0, \dots, N\})$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, for any $v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} = {}^t({}^tv_0, \dots, {}^tv_N) + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}, v_i = {}^t({}^tv_{i0}, \dots, {}^tv_{iN})\, (v_{ij} \in \mathcal{V})$, we compute $\widetilde{F}_0 v_0$:

$$\widetilde{F}_0 v_0 = (1_m - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^N \widetilde{F}_i - \widetilde{F}_\infty)v_0 = (\widehat{F} - \sum_{i=1}^N \widetilde{F}_i)v_0 = {}^t(\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^N {}^t(B_j v_{0j}), -\lambda' {}^t v_{01}, \dots, -\lambda' {}^t v_{0N})$$

and we find

$$\widetilde{F}_j v_j = {}^t(0, \dots, \overset{j+1}{\underset{\vee}{\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^N {}^t(B_i v_{ji}) + \lambda' {}^t v_{jj}}}, \dots, 0)\, (j \in J = \{1, \dots, N\}).$$

(i-1) If $\lambda = 0$, then it is clear that $\tilde{F}_i v_i = {}^t(0, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^N {}^t(B_j v_{ij}), \dots, 0)\, (i \in I)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{F}_i v_i \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} = \{{}^t({}^tw_0, \dots, {}^tw_N); \sum_{j=0}^N B_j w_j = 0\}$ and $B_i \sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_{ij} = 0$. Hence we get

$$\widetilde{F}_i v_i \in {}^t(0, \dots, \overset{i+1}{\underset{\vee}{\ker B_i}}, \dots, 0).$$

Therefore, we obtain $v_i \in \ker \widetilde{F}_i + \mathcal{K}_1$.

(i-2) If $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\widetilde{F}_i v_i = ({}^tk_{i0} + {}^th_i, \dots, {}^tk_{iN} + {}^th_i) \qquad (k_{ij} \in \ker B_j,\ h_i \in \ker(A_\infty - b_\infty q^\lambda 1_m),\ i \in I).$$

If $i \neq 0$, we get $h_i = -k_{ij} \in \ker B_j\, (j \in I \setminus \{i\})$. Hence we see $h_i \in \ker(B_i + \lambda' 1_m)$ from $h_i \in \ker(A_\infty - b_\infty q^\lambda 1_m) = \ker(\sum_{r=0}^N B_r + \lambda' 1_m)$. Since $(**)$ is satisfied, we get $h_i = 0$. Here

$$\widetilde{F}_i v_i \in {}^t(0, \dots, \overset{i+1}{\underset{\vee}{\ker B_i}}, \dots, 0).$$

If $i = 0$, then it results in the case $i \neq 0$ because

$$\widetilde{F}_0 = 1_{(N+1)m} - \sum_{r=1}^N F_r + \lambda' 1_{(N+1)m} - F_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 + \lambda' 1_m & \cdots & B_N \\ & O & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{45}$$

Hence we find $v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in (\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}$ is a subspace of $(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$.

(ii) For any

$$v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} = {}^t({}^th, \dots, {}^th) + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in (\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1} (h \in \ker(\widetilde{F}_\infty - q^\mu 1_{(N+1)m})),$$

we let $\widetilde{H} = (F_{t-1})_{1 \le s,t \le N+1}, \check{H} = (\check{F}_{t-1})_{1 \le s,t \le N+1}$. Then we obtain

$$(\check{H} + \mu 1_{(N+1)^2 m})(v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1}) = (\widetilde{H} + \mu 1_{(N+1)^2 m})v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} = \mathcal{H}^{N+1}.$$

Consequently, we find $v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{M}$. Meanwhile, for any

$$v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} = {}^t({}^th, \dots, {}^th) + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{M} (h \in \ker(\overline{F}_\infty - q^\mu 1_{(N+1)m})),$$

we see $v + \mathcal{H}^{N+1} \in (\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}$.

Let us remember the isomorphism theorems. For a linear space V and subspaces W, W' of V,

$$\text{(iii) if } W' \subset W, \text{ then } (V/W')/(W/W') \simeq V/W;$$
$$\text{(iv) } W'/(W \cap W') \simeq (W + W')/W.$$

From the above, we can compute $mc_\mu \circ mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$:

$$\begin{aligned} mc_\mu \circ mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) &= mc_\mu(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/\mathcal{H}) \\ &= (\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/\mathcal{H})^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{M}) \\ &= (\mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2}/\mathcal{H}^{N+1})/((\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/\mathcal{H}^{N+1}) \ \ (\because \text{ (i), (ii)}) \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2}/(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2))/((\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})/(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2)) \ \ (\because \text{ (iii)}) \\ &\simeq mc_\mu(\mathcal{V}^{N+1})/(\mathcal{H}^{N+1}/((\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2) \cap \mathcal{H}^{N+1})) \ \ (\because \text{ (iv)}) \\ &= mc_\mu(\mathcal{V}^{N+1})/mc_\mu(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda)). \ \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.11 *mc_λ preserves conditions $(*), (**)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda$ preserves conditions $(*), (**)$. In the case $\lambda = 0$ is obvious because of Proposition 4.9. Hence we assume $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{V}$ satisfy $(*), (**)$. Here we use notations in proof of previous proposition. If $\tau = 0$, for any $v + \mathcal{H} = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) + \mathcal{H} \in \bigcap_{i=0}^N \ker \check{F}_i$, it is clear that $\widetilde{F}_0 v \in \mathcal{H}$. Here we get $v \in \mathcal{H}$ from Proposition 4.10(i-2). Consequently, we obtain $\bigcap_{i=0}^N \ker \check{F}_i = \{\mathcal{H}\}$.

If $\tau \neq 0$, for any $v + \mathcal{H} \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \ker \check{F}_j \cap (\check{F}_i + \tau 1_{(N+1)m})\, (i \in J = \{1, \ldots, N\})$, we get $v \in \mathcal{H}$ from $\widetilde{F}_0 v \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence we obtain $\bigcap_{j \neq i} \ker \check{F}_j \cap (\check{F}_i + \tau 1_{(N+1)m}) = \{\mathcal{H}\}$. The case $i = 0$ is reduced to the case $i \in J$. Therefore, $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies $(*)$.

In the meantime, we put any $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}$. If $i \in J$, then

$$\widetilde{F}_i v = {}^t(0, \ldots, \overset{i+1}{\overset{\vee}{\sum_{j=0}^N}} {}^t(B_j v_j) + \lambda' {}^t v_i, \ldots, 0).$$

Hence $\widetilde{F}_i v$ spans the linear space ${}^t(0, \ldots, \mathcal{V}, \ldots, 0)$. Moreover, it is clear that

$$(\widetilde{F}_0 + \tau 1_{(N+1)m})v = {}^t(\sum_{j=0}^N {}^t(B_j v_j) + (\lambda' + \tau)^t v_0, \tau^t v_1, \ldots, \tau^t v_N).$$

Consequently, $\sum_{j=0}^N B_j v_j + (\lambda' + \tau) v_0$ spans $\mathcal{V}$. Here the case $i = 0$ is reduced to the case $i \in J$. Therefore, we obtain $\sum_{j \neq i} \mathrm{im}\check{F}_j + \mathrm{im}(\check{F}_i + \tau 1_{(N+1)m}) = \mathcal{V}^{N+1} + \mathcal{H}\, (i \in J)$. From the above, $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies $(**)$. $\square$

Here the $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda$ satisfies the next proposition.

Proposition 4.12 *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(q^\lambda + q^\mu - 1)}(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proof. If $\lambda\mu = 0$, it is obvious. We assume $\lambda\mu \neq 0$ and set

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}} = \Psi_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \boldsymbol{F}' = \Psi_{\log_q(q^\lambda+q^\mu-1)}(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \boldsymbol{H} = \Psi_\mu(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}),\ \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V},\ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda), \tag{46}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}^{N+1}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}),\ \mathcal{L}_2 = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}^{N+1}}(\mu), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}),\ \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\log_q(q^\lambda + q^\mu - 1)),\ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}_1. \tag{47}$$

Here we prove that induced mapping $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(q^\lambda+q^\mu-1)}(\mathcal{V})$ is isomorphism from

$$\phi :\ \Psi_\mu \circ \Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \Psi_{\log_q(q^\lambda+q^\mu-1)}(\mathcal{V})\ \left({}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{F}_i v_i\right). \tag{48}$$

We first find

$$\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_\mu(\mathcal{V}^{N+1})/\overline{\Psi}_\mu(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{V}(\lambda)) \simeq \mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2}/(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1}). \tag{49}$$

It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{L}_1)^{N+1}$ is subspace of $\mathcal{K}_2 = \ker(\phi)$. Moreover, we get $\phi((\mathcal{K}_1)^{N+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{F}_i\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \{{}^t({}^th, \ldots, {}^th); h \in \ker(\widetilde{F}_\infty - q^\mu 1_{(N+1)m})\}$. Hence we obtain

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{F}_i \ker F'_\infty = \big(\sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{F}_i\big)\ker F'_\infty = \ker F'_\infty = \mathcal{L}'\ (F'_\infty = \widetilde{F}_\infty - q^\mu 1_{(N+1)m}).$$

Here we compute $\dim(\mathcal{K}_2)$:

$$\dim(\mathcal{K}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^N \dim\ker\widetilde{F}_i = \sum_{i=0}^N \{\dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}) - \mathrm{rank}\widetilde{F}_i\} = \sum_{i=0}^N \{(N+1)m - m\} = N(N+1)m.$$

Consequently, we can calculate $\dim(\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})) &= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2}/(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{N+1})) \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2}) - \dim(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + (\mathcal{K}_1)^{N+1} + (\mathcal{L}_1)^{N+1}) \\
&= (N+1)^2 m - \dim(\mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 + (\mathcal{K}_1)^{N+1}) \\
&= (N+1)^2 m - \dim(\mathcal{K}_2) - \dim(\mathcal{L}_2 + (\mathcal{K}_1)^{N+1}) \\
&= (N+1)^2 m - N(N+1)m - \dim(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}') \\
&= (N+1)m - \dim(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}') \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}) - \dim(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}') \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{V}^{N+1}/(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{L}')).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $\lambda' = q^\lambda - 1, \mu' = q^\mu - 1$. For any

$$v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \mathcal{V}^{(N+1)^2},\ (v_j = {}^t({}^tv_{j0}, \ldots, {}^tv_{jN}),\ v_{ij} \in \mathcal{V}),$$

we get the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
(F'_i \circ \phi)(v) &= {}^t(0, \ldots, \overset{i+1}{\overset{\vee}{{}^tw_i}}, \ldots, 0) = (\phi \circ H_i)(v)\,(i \in \{0, \ldots, N\}), \\
w_i &= \sum_{j=0}^N B_j \Big\{ \sum_{k=0}^N B_k v_{jk} + \lambda' B_j v_{jj} + (\lambda' + \mu')v_{ij} \Big\} + \lambda'(\lambda' + \mu')v_{ii}, \\
F'_\infty \circ \phi &= \phi - \sum_{i=0}^N (F'_i \circ \phi) = \phi - \sum_{i=0}^N (\phi \circ H_i) = \phi \circ H_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\overline{\Psi}_\mu \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(q^\lambda+q^\mu-1)}(\mathcal{V})$. $\square$

From the above, Theorem 1.10 is shown.

Theorem 1.10 (irreducibility) *If $(*), (**)$ are satisfied, then $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible if and only if $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible.*

Proof. For any non-zero irreducible module $\mathcal{V}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we put $\mathcal{M} = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ and non-zero submodule $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Here $\mathcal{W} = \overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(1-q^\lambda)}(\mathcal{M}')$ is submodule of

$$\overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(1-q^\lambda)}(\mathcal{M}) = (\overline{\Psi}_{\log_q(1-q^\lambda)} \circ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda)(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \overline{\Psi}_0(\mathcal{V}) = mc_0(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{V}.$$

Hence we obtain $\mathcal{W} = 0$ or $\mathcal{V}$. If $\mathcal{W} = 0$, then we get $\mathcal{M}' \simeq \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{W}) = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(0) = 0$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, we find $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, we get

$$\mathcal{M}' = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{W}) = \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{M}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{W}$ is irreducible module. Here $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible if and only if $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible if and only if $mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$ is irreducible. The proof of the theorem has been completed. $\square$

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.11.

In this section, we prove that mc_λ preserves rigidity index of equation E_R. At first, we examine the change of spectral types S_0, S_∞.

Lemma 4.13 *We set coefficient polynomial $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N A_k x^k$ (resp. $G(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N G_k x^k$) of canonical form of $E_{\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{b}}$ (resp. $E_{\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{b}}$), we let $\mathrm{Ev}(M)$ be the set of eigenvalues of $M \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. If*

$$A_0 \sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathrm{Ev}(A_0)} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_\theta^0} J(\theta, t_{\theta,j}^0), \quad A_\infty \sim \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathrm{Ev}(A_\infty)} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_\kappa^\infty} J(\kappa, t_{\kappa,j}^\infty)$$

and $(**)$ is satisfied, then we obtain

$$G_0 \sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathrm{Ev}(A_0)\setminus\{q^\lambda\}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_\theta^0} J(\theta, t_{\theta,j}^0) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_{q^\lambda}^0} J(q^\lambda, t_{q^\lambda,j}^0 + 1) \oplus J(q^\lambda, 1)^{\oplus(Nm - s_{q^\lambda}^0)},$$

$$G_\infty \sim \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathrm{Ev}(A_\infty)\setminus\{b_\infty\}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_\kappa^\infty} J(\kappa, t_{\kappa,j}^\infty) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_{b_\infty}^\infty} J(b_\infty, t_{b_\infty,j}^\infty + 1) \oplus J(b_\infty, 1)^{\oplus(Nm - s_{b_\infty}^\infty)}.$$

Proof. It is easily seen that $G_0 = 1_m - F_0$, $G_\infty = b_\infty F_\infty$, $F_0 = 1_m - \sum_{i=1}^N F_i - F_\infty$ and

$$\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \theta 1_m - A_0 & B_1 & \cdots & B_N \\ & (\theta - q^\lambda)1_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & (\theta - q^\lambda)1_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{50}$$

(i) If $\theta \neq q^{\lambda}$, then $\dim\ker((\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0)^n) = \dim\ker((\theta 1_m - A_0)^n)$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$.

(ii) If $\theta = q^{\lambda}$, then for any $v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}$ $(v_i \in \mathcal{V})$, we get

$$(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0)v = {}^t({}^t v', 0, \ldots, 0),\ v' = \sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k v_k + (\theta - 1)1_m.$$

Here v' spans $\mathcal{V}$ because condition $(**)$. Hence we obtain

$$\dim\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) = Nm,\ \dim\ker((\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0)^{n+1}) = \dim\ker((\theta 1_m - A_0)^n)\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}).$$

(iii) If $\kappa \neq b_\infty$, then for any $v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker((\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^n)$ $(v_i \in \mathcal{V},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$, we get

$$0 = (\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^n v = \{(\kappa - b_\infty)1_{(N+1)m} + b_\infty \widehat{F}\}^n v = (\kappa - b_\infty)^n v + P\widehat{F}v\ (P \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})).$$

Hence we find $v_0 = \cdots = v_N$. Moreover, it is clear that

$$(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^n v = \{(\kappa - b_\infty)1_{(N+1)m} + b_\infty \widehat{F}\}^n v = {}^t({}^t v', \ldots, {}^t v'),\ v' = (\kappa 1_m - A_\infty)^n v_0.$$

Therefore, we obtain $\dim\ker((\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^n) = \dim\ker((\kappa 1_m - A_\infty)^n)$.

(iv) If $\kappa = b_\infty$, then we obtain

$$\dim\ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) = \dim\ker\widehat{F} = (N+1)m - \dim\ \mathrm{im}\widehat{F} = (N+1)m - m = Nm$$

from $\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty = b_\infty \widehat{F}$ and $(**)$. Here for any

$$v = {}^t({}^t v_0, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker((\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^{n+1})\ (v_i \in \mathcal{V},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}),$$

it is easily seen that

$$(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)v = b_\infty \widehat{F}v = {}^t({}^t v', \ldots, {}^t v'), \quad v' = b_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k v_k$$

and

$$(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^{N+1} v = {}^t({}^t w, \ldots, {}^t w), \quad w = (\kappa 1_m - A_\infty)^n v'.$$

Therefore, we obtain $\dim\ker((\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)^{n+1}) = \dim\ker((\kappa 1_m - A_\infty)^n)$. □

We prepare for examining changes of spectral type S_{div}.

Lemma 4.14 *We can reduce $G(x)$ to $\widetilde{G}(x)$:*

$$\widetilde{G}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} T(x)1_m & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & T(x)1_m & \\ V_1(x) & \cdots & V_N(x) & A(q^{-\lambda}x) \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}$$

by elementary matrices. Here $V_i(x)\,(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ are polynomials and $T(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{N}(1 - \frac{x}{b_k})$.

Proof. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, k \in J = \{1, \dots, N\}, b_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $s_k = 1 - \frac{x}{b_k},\ s'_k = 1 - \frac{x}{q^\lambda b_k},\ T_k = \frac{T(x)}{s_k},\ b_{i,j} = 1 - \frac{b_i}{b_j}$. It is clear that

$$G(x) = T(x)F(x) \tag{52}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N} s_k\right) \cdot \left(F_\infty + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{F_l}{s_l}\right) \tag{53}$$

$$= T(x)1_m \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} q^\lambda s'_k T_k(x) 1_m + \left(-T(x)1_m \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \frac{xT_k(x)}{b_k} 1_m\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1_m \\ \vdots \\ 1_m \end{pmatrix} (B_0 \cdots B_n). \tag{54}$$

Here we row reduce $G(x)$ by the elementary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1-s_1)1_m & s_1 1_m & & \\ -1_m & 1_m & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -1_m & & & 1_m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Next, we column reduce by the elementary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ 1_m & 1_m & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1_m & & & 1_m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{56}$$

Then we obtain

$$q^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} T1_m & s'_1 T 1_m & & \\ & s'_1 T_1 1_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & s'_N T_N 1_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O_m \\ T_1 1_m \\ \vdots \\ T_N 1_m \end{pmatrix} (q^\lambda 1_m - B_\infty\ B_1 \cdots B_n). \tag{57}$$

We set

$$f_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} b_i b_j^{-1} b_{i+1,j}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (b_{j,k}^{-1} b_{i,k}) \cdot \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} (b_k b_j^{-1} b_{k,j}^{-1} b_{i,k}) \quad (b_{N+1,j} = 1), \tag{58}$$

$$g_i = -\prod_{k=1}^{i} b_{i+1,k}^{-1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} b_{i,k} (\neq 0) \tag{59}$$

and $C_0 = (C^0_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le N+1} \in \mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C})$ $(C^1_{i,j} \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ as

$$C^0_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1_m & (i = j = 1) \\ f_{i-1,j-1} s_{i-1} 1_m & (2 \le i, j \le N, i \ge j) \\ g_{i-1} s_i 1_m & (2 \le i = j - 1 \le N) \\ f_{N,j-1} 1_m & (i = N+1, j \ne 1) \\ O_m & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}. \tag{60}$$

Here C_0 is an elementary matrix. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $I_j = \{1, \ldots, j\}$. We prove

$$\text{(i)} \ \sum_{k=1}^{l} f_{l,k} = -g_l \ \ (l \in I_{N-1}),$$
$$\text{(ii)} \ \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{N,k} T_k(x) = t_0 \quad \left(t_0 = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} b_{N,k} \neq 0 \right).$$

(i) It is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^{l} f_{l,k}(b_{l+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{g_l(b_{l+1})}$. Here we set $f(b_{l+1}) = -(g_l)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{l} f_{l,k}$, then we find $\deg f(b_{l+1}) \leq l-1$ and $f(b_s) = 1$ $(s \in I_l)$. Therefore, for any $b_{l+1} \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain $f(b_{l+1}) = 1$.

(ii) Let $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{N,k} T_k(x)$, then we find $\deg g(x) \leq N-1$ and $g(b_s) = t_0$ $(s \in I_N)$. Therefore, $g(x) = t_0$. Hence we get

$$C_0 \begin{pmatrix} O_m \\ T_1 1_m \\ \vdots \\ T_N 1_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O_m \\ \vdots \\ O_m \\ t_0 1_m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{61}$$

Here let us reduce

$$q^{\lambda} C_0 \begin{pmatrix} T1_m & s_1' T 1_m & & \\ & s_1' T_1 1_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & s_N' T_N 1_m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{62}$$

We set $U_{i,j}(p) := (p \delta_{si} \delta_{tj} 1_m)_{1 \leq s,t \leq N+1} \in \mathrm{M}_{(N+1)m}(\mathbb{C})$ $(p \in \mathbb{C})$ and

$$h_{i,j} = g_j^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j} f_{i,k}, \tag{63}$$

$$C_l = 1_{(N+1)m} + \sum_{k=l+2}^{N} U_{k,l+1}(h_{k-1,l}) \ (1 \leq l \leq N-2), \tag{64}$$

$$C_{N-1} = 1_m \oplus (-g_1^{-1}) 1_m \oplus \cdots \oplus (-g_{N-1}^{-1}) 1_m \oplus 1_m, \tag{65}$$

$$C = C_{N-1} C_{N-2} \cdots C_1 C_0. \tag{66}$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\begin{pmatrix} T1_m & s_1'T1_m & & & \\ & s_1'T_11_m & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & s_N'T_N1_m \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} T1_m & s_1'T1_m & & & & & \\ & s_1'T1_m & -s_2'T1_m & & & & \\ & & s_2'T1_m & -s_3'T1_m & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & s_{N-1}'T1_m & -s_N'T1_m & \\ & f_{N,1}s_1'T_11_m & f_{N,2}s_2'T_21_m & \cdots & f_{N,N-1}s_{N-1}'T_{N-1}1_m & f_{N,N}s_N'T_N1_m \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}
$$

For any $i \in I_{N-1}$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_i &= \prod_{k=1}^{i} s_k', \quad u_i' = \prod_{k=1}^{i} b_{i+1,k}, \quad \widetilde{u}_i = s_{i+1}^{-1}(u_i - u_i'), \\
D_0 &= 1_{(N+1)m} - U_{1,2}(s_1'), \\
D_{1,i} &= (1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+2,i+1}(\widetilde{u}_i))(1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+2,i+2}(u_i' - 1))(1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+1,i+2}(s_{i+1}')), \\
D_{2,i} &= (1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+2,i+1}(-\widetilde{u}_i s_{i+1}'))(1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+1,i+1}(u_i'^{-1} - 1))(1_{(N+1)m} + U_{i+1,i+2}(s_{i+1}')), \\
D_1 &= D_0 D_{1,1} \cdots D_{1,N-1}, \quad D_2 = D_{2,N-1} \cdots D_{2,1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}
$$

Here we remember $A(q^{-\lambda}x) = T(q^{-\lambda}x)B(q^{-\lambda}x) = (\prod_{k=1}^{N} s_k')(B_\infty + \sum_{l=1}^{N} s_l'^{-1}B_l)$, and we compute

$$
D_2 C \left\{ q^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} T1_m & s_1'T1_m & & \\ & s_1'T_11_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & s_N'T_N1_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O_m \\ T_11_m \\ \vdots \\ T_N1_m \end{pmatrix} (q^\lambda 1_m - B_\infty \ B_1 \cdots B_n) \right\} D_1. \tag{69}
$$

This is the $\widetilde{G}(x)$. □

We prove the next lemma for examining type of elementary divisors of $G(x)$.

Lemma 4.15 *For coefficient polynomial $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k x^k$ of canonical form of Fuchsian equation E_R, we define $P_A \in \mathrm{M}_{Nm}(C)$ as*

$$
\begin{pmatrix} & 1_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1_m \\ -A_\infty^{-1}A_0 & -A_\infty^{-1}A_1 & \cdots & -A_\infty^{-1}A_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{70}
$$

Then, for any $a_i \in Z_R = \{a \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \det A(a) = 0\}$, *we obtain*

$$n_j^i = \dim \ker((a_i 1_{Nm} - P_A)^j) - \dim \ker((a_i 1_{Nm} - P_A)^{j-1})\,(j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}). \tag{71}$$

Proof. $x1_{Nm} - P_A$ can be transformed to $1_{(N-1)m} \oplus A(x)$ by elementary matrices. Therefore, type of elementary divisors of $x1_{Nm} - P_A$ and type of elementary divisors of $A(x)$ are equal except for $1_{(N-1)m}$. □

We obtain the following lemma by calculating the dimensions of the generalized eigenspaces of P_A.

Lemma 4.16 *Let* $I_j = \{1, \ldots, j\}, j_1 = \min\{N+1, j\}, j_2 = \max\{N+1, j\}$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$, $I'_j = \{1, \ldots, j_2\}$. *For any* $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

(*i*) ${}^t({}^t v_1, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker(a1_{Nm} - P_A)$ $(v_k \in \mathcal{V})$,

(*ii*) *There exist* $v_{j_1}, \ldots, v_{j_2} \in \mathcal{V}$ *such that for* $w_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} v_l$ $(k \in I_j)$,

$$v_k = \sum_{l=1}^{j} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} w_l \,(k \in I'_j) \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \frac{d^i A}{dx^i}(a) w_{i+j-k+1} = 0 \,(k \in I_j).$$

Proof. If $j = 1$, then for any $v = {}^t({}^t v_1, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker(a1_{Nm} - P_A)$ $(v_k \in \mathcal{V})$, we put $w_1 = v_1, v_{N+1} = a^N v_1$. Here we get $v_k = a^{k-1} v_1 = a^{k-1} w_1$ $(k \in I'_1)$ and $A(a) w_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k a^k v_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k v_{k+1} = 0$ from $(P_A - a1_{Nm})v = 0$. We assume that the equivalence is satisfied in the case $j = j' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For any $v = {}^t({}^t v_1, \ldots, {}^t v_N) \in \ker((a1_{Nm} - P_A)^{j'+1})$ $(v_k \in \mathcal{V})$, we let

$$u = {}^t({}^t u_1, \ldots, {}^t u_N) = (a1_{Nm} - P_A)v \,(u_k \in \mathcal{V}),\ v_{N+1} = -A_\infty^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A_k v_{k+1}.$$

Then we find $u_k = a v_k - v_{k+1}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k v_{k+1} = 0$ $(k \in I_0 = \{1, \ldots, N\})$. Here we set

$$\widetilde{w}_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} u_l \ (k \in I_{j'}).$$

There exist $u_{j'_1}, \ldots, u_{j'_2} \in \mathcal{V}$ $(j'_1 = \min\{N+1, j'\}, j'_2 = \max\{N+1, j'\})$ such that

$$\widetilde{w}_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} u_l = \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k}{l-1} a^{k+1-l} v_l \quad (k \in I_{j'}). \tag{72}$$

Let $w_1 = v_1, w_k = \widetilde{w}_{k-1}\,(k \in I_{j'+1} \setminus \{1\})$, we obtain

$$w_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} v_l \quad (k \in I_{j'+1}).$$

Here we find $u_k = \sum_{l=1}^{j'} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} w_{l+1}$ $(k \in I'_{j'})$. We put $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $av_k - v_{k+1} = u_k$ $(k \in \{j'_1, \ldots, j'_2\})$. For any $k \in I'_{j'}$, we get

$$av_k - v_{k+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{j'} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} w_{l+1} = \sum_{l=2}^{j'+1} (-1)^{l-2} \binom{k-1}{l-2} a^{k+1-l} w_l \tag{73}$$

and

$$v_k = \sum_{l=1}^{j'+1} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} a^{k-l} w_l. \tag{74}$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \frac{d^i A}{dx^i}(a) w_{i+(j'+1)-k+1} = 0$$

from $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \frac{d^i A}{dx^i}(a) \widetilde{w}_{i+j'-k+1} = 0$ $(k \in I_{j'})$. On the other hand, by the computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k v_{k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A_k \sum_{l=1}^{j'+1} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{k}{l-1} a^{k+1-l} w_l \\
&\quad + A_N \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{j'+1} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N-1}{l-1} a^{N+1-l} w_l - \sum_{l=1}^{j'} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N-1}{l-1} a^{N-l} w_{l+1} \right\} \qquad (75) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{(j'+1)-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{k!}{(k-l)!} A_k a^{k-l} w_{l+1} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{(j'+1)-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \frac{d^l A}{dx^l}(a) w_{l+(j'+1)-(j'+1)+1},
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) is satisfied in the case $j = j' + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The proof of the lemma has been completed. □

From the above, we can calculate the type of elementary divisors of $G(x) = c_\lambda(A)(x)$. We obtain the next lemma by calculating the dimension of the generalized eigenspaces of $P_{\widetilde{G}} \in \mathrm{M}_{N(N+1)m}$.

Lemma 4.17 *If* $(*), (**)$ *are satisfied, then for any* $a \in Z_R = \{a \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \det A(a) = 0\}$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, *we obtain* (i),(ii):
(i) *If* $q^\lambda a \in q^\lambda Z_R \setminus \{b_k\,;\, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\}$, *then*
$\dim \ker((q^\lambda a 1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^j) = \dim \ker((a 1_{Nm} - P_A)^j)$.
(ii) *If* $q^\lambda a \in q^\lambda Z_R \cap \{b_k\,;\, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\}$, *then* $\dim \ker(q^\lambda a 1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}}) = Nm$ *and*
$\dim \ker((q^\lambda a 1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^{j+1}) = \dim \ker((a 1_{Nm} - P_A)^j)$.

Proof. (i) For any

$$v = {}^t({}^tv_1, \dots, {}^tv_N) \in \ker(a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}}),\ (v_k = {}^t({}^tv_{k,0}, \dots, {}^tv_{k,N}),\ v_{k,l} \in \mathcal{V}),$$

we find $v_k = a^{k-1}v_1, \widetilde{G}(q^\lambda a)v_1 = 0$. Moreover, we obtain $A(a)v_{1,N} = 0$, $\dim\ker(q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}}) = \dim\ker A(a) = \dim\ker(a1_{Nm} - P_A)$ from $\widetilde{G}(q^\lambda a)v_1 = 0 \ \Leftrightarrow\ v_{1,j} = 0\,(j \neq N)$. Meanwhile, we assume $\dim\ker((q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^{j'}) = \dim\ker((a1_{Nm} - P_A)^{j'})\,(j = j' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. In another expression, for $w_k = {}^t({}^tw_{k,0}, \dots, {}^tw_{k,l}) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}\,(w_{k,N} \in \mathcal{V},\ k \in J = \{1, \dots, j'\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{d^i\widetilde{G}}{dx^i}(q^\lambda a)w_{i+j'-k+1} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{(j-i-1)\lambda}\frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{d^iA}{dx^i}(a)w_{i+j'-k+1,N} = 0,\ w_{k,l} = 0\,(l \neq N). \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Here if there exist

$$w_k = {}^t({}^tw_{k,0}, \dots, {}^tw_{k,N}) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}\,(w_{k,l} \in \mathcal{V},\ k \in J' = \{1, \dots, j'+1\})$$

such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{d^i\widetilde{G}}{dx^i}(q^\lambda a)w_{i+j'-k+2} = 0$. Then we get $w_{k,l} = 0\,(k \neq 1, l \neq N)$. Moreover, we find

$$w_{1,l} = 0,\ \ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{(j'-i)\lambda}\frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{d^iA}{dx^i}(a)w_{i+j'-k+2,N} = 0\ (k \in J',\ l \neq N),$$

because $\sum_{i=0}^{j'} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{d^i\widetilde{G}}{dx^i}(q^\lambda a)w_{i+1} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\dim\ker((q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^{j'+1}) = \dim\ker((a1_{Nm} - P_A)^{j'+1}).$$

(ii) If $q^\lambda a = b_{k_0} \in q^\lambda Z_R \cap \{b_k\,;\, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}\,(k_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\})$, then we obtain

$$\dim\ker(q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}}) = \dim\ker\widetilde{G}(k_0) = \dim\ker G(k_0) = (N+1)m - \dim\,\mathrm{im}G(k_0) = Nm.$$

We assume that there exist $w_k = {}^t({}^tw_{k,0}, \dots, {}^tw_{k,N}) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}\,(w_{k,l} \in \mathcal{V},\ k = 1, 2)$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}(q^\lambda a)w_2 = 0, \quad \frac{d\widetilde{G}}{dx}(q^\lambda a)w_2 = \widetilde{G}(q^\lambda a)w_1.$$

Then it is clear that $\frac{dT}{dx}(b_{k_0}) \neq 0$. Hence we get

$$w_{2,l} = 0\,(l \neq N), \quad A(a)w_{2,N} = 0, \quad \frac{dA}{dx}(a)w_{2,N} = q^\lambda\sum_{l=0}^{N} U_l(q^\lambda a)w_{1,l}.$$

Here $q^\lambda\sum_{l=0}^{N} U_l(q^\lambda a)w_{1,l}$ spans $\mathcal{V}$ from condition $(**)$. Moreover, we find

$$\dim\ker((q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^2) = \dim\ker A(a) = \dim\ker(a1_{Nm} - P_A).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\dim\ker((q^\lambda a1_{N(N+1)m} - P_{\widetilde{G}})^{j'+2}) = \dim\ker((a1_{Nm} - P_A)^{j'+1}).\ \square$$

From the above, the next proposition is obvious.

Proposition 4.18 *If* $(*), (**)$ *are satisfied and the spectral type* $S(E_R) = (S_0; S_\infty; S_{\mathrm{div}})$ *of Fuchsian equation* E_R *is given as*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\xi &: m^\xi_{1,1} \dots m^\xi_{1,t^\xi_{1,1}}, \dots, m^\xi_{l_\xi,1} \dots m^\xi_{l_\xi,t^\xi_{l_\xi,1}} \ (\xi = 0, \infty), \\ S_{\mathrm{div}} &: n^1_1 \dots n^1_{k_1}, \dots, n^l_1 \dots n^l_{k_l}, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

then spectral type $S(c_\lambda(E_R)) = (S'_0; S'_\infty; S'_{\mathrm{div}})$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} S'_0 &: \begin{cases} Nm\ m^0_{1,1} \dots m^0_{1,t^0_{1,1}}, \dots, m^0_{l_0,1} \dots m^0_{l_0,t^0_{l_0,1}} & (q^\lambda = \alpha^0_1) \\ Nm, m^0_{1,1} \dots m^0_{1,t^0_{1,1}}, \dots, m^0_{l_0,1} \dots m^0_{l_0,t^0_{l_0,1}} & (q^\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ev}(A_0)) \end{cases}, \\ S'_\infty &: \begin{cases} Nm\ m^\infty_{1,1} \dots m^\infty_{1,t^\infty_{1,1}}, \dots, m^\infty_{l_\infty,1} \dots m^\infty_{l_\infty,t^\infty_{l_\infty,1}} & (b_\infty = \alpha^\infty_1) \\ Nm, m^\infty_{1,1} \dots m^\infty_{1,t^\infty_{1,1}}, \dots, m^\infty_{l_\infty,1} \dots m^\infty_{l_\infty,t^\infty_{l_\infty,1}} & (b_\infty \notin \mathrm{Ev}(A_\infty)) \end{cases}, \\ S'_{\mathrm{div}} &: \underbrace{Nm, \dots, Nm}_{r_1}, Nm\ n^1_1 \dots n^1_{k_1}, \dots, Nm\ n^{r_2}_1 \dots n^{r_2}_{k_{r_2}}, n^{r_2+1}_1 \dots n^{r_2+1}_{k_{r_2+1}}, \dots, n^l_1 \dots n^l_{k_l} \\ &\quad (b_1, \dots, b_{r_1} \in \{b_k\,;\, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}\} \setminus q^\lambda Z_A,\ q^\lambda a_1, \dots, q^\lambda a_{r_2} \in \{b_k\,;\, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}). \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

We show the next lemma in order to examine how q-middle convolution changes the spectral type.

Lemma 4.19 *If* $\lambda \neq 0$, *for* $\theta, \kappa, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, I = \{1, \dots, N\}$, *we obtain*

$$\dim(\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) & (\theta = 1) \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \dim\ker B_k & (\theta = q^\lambda) \\ 0 & (\theta \neq 1, q^\lambda) \end{cases}, \tag{79}$$

$$\dim(\ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) + \sum_{k=1}^N \dim\ker B_k & (\kappa = b_\infty) \\ 0 & (\kappa \neq b_\infty) \end{cases}, \tag{80}$$

$$\dim(\ker\, G(a) \cap \mathcal{K}) \tag{81}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) + \sum_{k \neq j} \dim\ker B_k & (a = b_j) \\ \dim\ker B_j & (a = q^\lambda b_j \in q^\lambda Z_A \setminus \{b_k; k \in I\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}, \tag{82}$$

$$\dim\left(\frac{dG}{dx}(a)^{\,-1}(\mathrm{im}\, G(a)) \cap \ker\, G(a) \cap \mathcal{K}\right) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker B_j & (a = q^\lambda b_j \in q^\lambda Z_A) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}, \tag{83}$$

$$\dim(\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m) & (\theta = q^\lambda) \\ 0 & (\theta \neq q^\lambda) \end{cases}, \tag{84}$$

$$\dim(\ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m) & (\kappa = q^\lambda b_\infty) \\ 0 & (\kappa \neq q^\lambda b_\infty) \end{cases}, \tag{85}$$

$$\dim(\ker\, G(a) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m) & (a \in \{b_k; k \in I\}) \\ 0 & (a \notin \{b_k; k \in I\}) \end{cases}. \tag{86}$$

Proof. (i) (Change of S_0 due to the $\mathcal{K}$) For $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{K}\,(v_k \in \mathcal{V})$, it is easily seen that

$$0 = (\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0)v = {}^t(\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^N {}^t(B_k v_k) + (\theta - 1)\,{}^tv_0, (\theta - q^\lambda)\,{}^tv_1, \ldots, (\theta - q^\lambda)\,{}^tv_N).$$

If $\theta = 1$, then it is clear that $\theta \neq q^\lambda$ and $v_k = 0\,(k \in I = \{1, \ldots, N\}), v_0 \in \ker(A_0 - 1_m)$. Here we get $\dim(\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m)$.

If $\theta = q^\lambda$, then we find $v_k \in \ker B_k\,(k \in I)$. Therefore, we obtain $\dim(\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \dim\ker B_k$.

(ii) (Change of S_∞ due to the $\mathcal{K}$) For $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $v \in \ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$0 = (\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)v = (\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - b_\infty F_\infty)v = \{\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - b_\infty(1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F})\}v = (\kappa - b_\infty)v.$$

If $\kappa = b_\infty$, then we obtain $\dim(\ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \dim\mathcal{K} = \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) + \sum_{k=1}^N \dim\ker B_k$.

(iii) (Change of S_{div} due to the $\mathcal{K}$)

(iii-a) For any $v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \ker G(b_k) \cap \mathcal{K}\,(v_k \in \mathcal{V},\, k \in I)$, it is clear that $v_k = 0$. Hence we get $\dim(\ker\, G(b_k) \cap \mathcal{K}) = \dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) + \sum_{l \neq k} \dim\ker B_l$.

(iii-b) If $q^\lambda a_i \in q^\lambda Z_A \setminus \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}$, then $T(q^\lambda a_i) \neq 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\ker\, G(q^\lambda a_i) = \ker F(q^\lambda a_i) = \ker\left(1_{(N+1)m} - \widehat{F} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{F_k}{1 - \frac{q^\lambda a_i}{b_k}}\right). \tag{87}$$

For any $v = {}^t({}^tv_0, \ldots, {}^tv_N) \in \ker G(q^\lambda a_i) \cap \mathcal{K}\,(v_k \in \mathcal{V})$, we get

$$0 = \{1 - \widehat{F} + \sum_{k=1}^N (1 - q^\lambda a_i b_k^{-1})^{-1} F_k\}v = \{1_m \oplus_{k=1}^N q^\lambda (1 - a_i b_k^{-1})(1 - q^\lambda a_i b_k^{-1})^{-1} 1_m\}v.$$

Here if $a_i \notin \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}$, then $v = 0$. In the meantime, if $a_i = b_j\,(j \in I)$ and $k \neq j$, then $v_k = 0$. Therefore, we find $v_j \in \ker B_j$. From the above, we obatin

$$\dim\left(\frac{dG}{dx}(q^\lambda a_i)^{-1}(\mathrm{im}\, G(q^\lambda a_i)) \cap \ker\, G(q^\lambda a_i) \cap \mathcal{K}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & (a_i \notin \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}) \\ \dim\ker B_j = n_1^j & (a_i = b_j) \end{cases}. \tag{88}$$

(iii-c) If $q^\lambda a_i = b_{j'} \in q^\lambda Z_A \cap \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}\,(j' \in I)$, then we put $w_k = {}^t({}^tw_{k,0}, \ldots, {}^tw_{k,N}) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1}\,(w_k \in \mathcal{V},\, k = 1, 2)$ such that

$$w_2 \in \ker G(q^\lambda a_i) \cap \mathcal{K}, \quad \frac{dG}{dx}(q^\lambda a_i) w_2 = G(q^\lambda a_i) w_1.$$

Hence we find $\ker G(q^\lambda a_i) \cap \mathcal{K} = \ker F_{j'} \cap \mathcal{K}$ and $q^\lambda \neq 1$. Therefore, we get $w_{2,j'} = 0$. Moreover, $G(q^\lambda a_i)w_1$ spans ${}^t(0,\dots,0,\mathcal{V},0,\dots,0)$ from $(**)$.

If $a_i \notin \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}$, then we get $w_{2,k} = 0\,(k \neq j')$ from $\frac{dG}{dx}(q^\lambda a_i)w_2 = G(q^\lambda a_i)w_1$. Therefore, $w_2 = 0$. Meanwhile, if $a_i = b_j\,(j \in I)$ and $k \neq j$, then we find $w_{2,k} = 0$ and $w_{2,j} \in \ker B_j$. From the above, we obatin

$$\dim\left(\frac{dG}{dx}(q^\lambda a_i)^{-1}(\operatorname{im} G(q^\lambda a_i)) \cap \ker G(q^\lambda a_i) \cap \mathcal{K}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & (a_i \notin \{b_k\,;\, k \in I\}) \\ \dim\ker B_j & (a_i = b_j) \end{cases}. \quad (89)$$

(iv) (Change of S_0 due to the $\mathcal{L}$) For $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $v = {}^t({}^th,\dots,{}^th) \in \ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{L}$ $(h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m))$, we find

$$0 = (\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0)v = {}^t((\theta - q^\lambda)\,{}^th,\dots,(\theta - q^\lambda)\,{}^th).$$

If $\theta = q^\lambda$, then $h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)$. Therefore, we obtain $\dim(\ker(\theta 1_{(N+1)m} - G_0) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \dim \mathcal{L} = \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)$.

(v) (Change of S_∞ due to the $\mathcal{L}$) For $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v = {}^t({}^th,\dots,{}^th) \in \ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}$ $(h \in \ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m))$, we get

$$0 = (\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty)v = (\kappa - q^\lambda b_\infty)v.$$

If $\kappa = q^\lambda b_\infty$, then we obtain $\dim(\ker(\kappa 1_{(N+1)m} - G_\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \dim \mathcal{L} = \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m)$.

(vi) (Change of S_{div} due to the $\mathcal{K}$) For any $k \in I$, $\mathcal{L}$ is subspace of $\ker G(b_k) = \ker F_k$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\dim(\ker G(b_k) \cap \mathcal{L}) = \dim \mathcal{L} = \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m). \ \square$$

From the above, Theorem 1.11 is shown.

Theorem 1.11 (rigidity index) *If $(*),(**)$ are satisfied, then mc_λ preserves rigidity index of Fuchsian equation E_R.*

Proof. In the case $\lambda = 0$, it is obvious from Proposition 4.4. We assume $\lambda \neq 0$. Let coefficient $\overline{G}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \overline{G}_k x^k$ $(\overline{G}_\infty = \overline{G}_N)$ of canonical form of $E_{\overline{\boldsymbol{F}},\boldsymbol{b}}$ $(\overline{\boldsymbol{F}} = mc_\lambda(\boldsymbol{B}))$. It is clear that $q^\lambda \neq 1, q^\lambda b_\infty \neq b_\infty$. Here let $\alpha^0_{i_0} = 1, \alpha^\infty_{i_\infty} = q^\lambda b_\infty$. we get

$$\dim\ker(A_0 - 1_m) = m^0_{i_0,1}, \quad \dim\ker(A_\infty - q^\lambda b_\infty 1_m) = m^\infty_{i_\infty,1}. \quad (90)$$

Moreover, we set

$$b_k = \begin{cases} a_k & (k \in \{1,\dots,r\}) \\ c_k & (k \in \{r+1,\dots,N\}, c_k \notin Z_A) \end{cases}, \quad d_k = \dim\ker B_k, \quad d = \sum_{k=1}^N d_k. \quad (91)$$

Then we find

$$\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V})) = (N+1)m - m^0_{i_0,1} - m^\infty_{i_\infty,1} - d, \quad d = \sum_{k=1}^r n^k_1. \quad (92)$$

Since these relations, we obtain

$$p_0 = \dim\ker(\overline{G}_0 - q^{\lambda}1_{\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))}) = Nm - m^{\infty}_{i_\infty,1} - d, \tag{93}$$

$$p_\infty = \dim\ker(\overline{G}_\infty - b_\infty 1_{\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))}) = Nm - m^{0}_{i_0,1} - d, \tag{94}$$

$$p_k = \dim\ker\overline{G}(b_k) = Nm - m^{0}_{i_0,1} - m^{\infty}_{i_\infty,1} - d + d_k \ (k \in \{1,\dots,N\}). \tag{95}$$

From the above, rigidity index, $\mathrm{idx}(mc_\lambda(E_R))$, of equation E_R is calculated:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{idx}(mc_\lambda(E_R))\\
&= \sum_{i\neq i_0}\sum_{j=1}^{t^0_{i,1}}(m^0_{i,j})^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{t^0_{i_0,1}}(m^0_{i_0,j})^2 + (p_0)^2 + \sum_{i\neq i_\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{t^\infty_{i,1}}(m^\infty_{i,j})^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{t^\infty_{i_\infty,1}}(m^\infty_{i_\infty,j})^2 + (p_\infty)^2\\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=2}^{k_i}(n^i_j)^2 + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}(n^i_j)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N}(p_k)^2 - N\{\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))\}^2\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l_0}\sum_{j=1}^{t^0_{i,1}}(m^0_{i,j})^2 - (m^0_{i_0,1})^2 + (p_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l_\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{t^\infty_{i,1}}(m^\infty_{i,j})^2 - (m^\infty_{i_\infty,1})^2 + (p_\infty)^2\\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}(n^i_j)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r}(n^i_1)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N}(p_k)^2 - N\{\dim(mc_\lambda(\mathcal{V}))\}^2\\
&= \mathrm{idx}(E_R) - (m^0_{i_0,1})^2 + (Nm - m^\infty_{i_\infty,1} - d)^2 - (m^\infty_{i_\infty,1})^2 + (Nm - m^0_{i_0,1} - d)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r}(n^i_1)^2\\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{N}(Nm - m^0_{i_0,1} - m^\infty_{i_\infty,1} - d + d_k)^2 - N\{(N+1)m - m^0_{i_0,1} - m^\infty_{i_\infty,1} - d\}^2 + Nm^2\\
&= \mathrm{idx}(E_R).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem has been completed. □

Acknowledgements

We would like to express my sincere gratitude to T.Oshima, Y.Haraoka, K.Takemura, D.Yamakawa, K.Hiroe, H.Kawakami and S.Ishizaki for their helpful comments and information about the middle convolution. We wish to thank M.Jimbo, M.Noumi, K.Kajiwara, Y.Ohyama, N.Joshi, T.Masuda, T.Takenawa, T.Tsuda, M.Murata, and Y.Katsushima for discussions and interest. This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI no.24540205.

References

[1] N.M.Katz, Rigid Local Systems, *Annals of Mathematics Studies 139, Princeton University Press*, (1997).

[2] M.Dettweiler, S.Reiter, An algorithm of Katz and its applications to the inverse Galois problems, *J. Symbolic Comput*, **30** (2000), 761–798.

[3] M.Dettweiler, S.Reiter, Middle convolution of Fuchsian systems and the construction of rigid differential systems, *J. Algebra*, **318** (2007)1–24.

[4] R.D.Carmichael, The general theory of linear q-difference equations, *Am. J. Math.*, **34** (1912) 147–168.

[5] G.D.Birkhoff, The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential equations and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations, *Proc. Am. Acad. Arts and Sciences*, **49** (1914), 521–568.

[6] J.Sauloy, Galois theory of Fuchsian q-difference equations, *Ann. Scient. École. Norm. Sup., 4eme série*, **36** (2003), 925–968.

[7] J.Roques, Birkhoff matrices, residues and rigidity for q-difference equations, *preprint, 34 pages, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.*